

# AlerTox<sup>®</sup> Sticks Crustacean

## Validation Report

<b>Cat No.</b>	KIT 3036 (10 tests)
<b>Test Name</b>	AlerTox Sticks Crustacean
<b>Description</b>	Immunochromatographic rapid test for qualitative detection of crustacean antigen in food, kitchens and production facilities
<b>Specificity and Sensitivity</b>	<p>The LOD (limit of detection) of AlerTox Sticks Crustacean is 10 ppm of dried raw shrimp protein. The range of detection (ROD) is 10-10,000 ppm; above this range, the test can present a hook effect.</p> <p>AlerTox Sticks Crustacean uses a combination of monoclonal antibodies against a major antigen found in crustacean muscle, tropomyosin, known as allergen Met e 1 of <i>Metapenaeus ensis</i> (shrimp), Cra c 1 of <i>Crangon crangon</i> (North Sea shrimp), and similar proteins of other species. The test is non-reactive to fish, mollusks, and all sorts of meats. AlerTox Sticks Crustacean is able to detect the antigens of Arthropodae (insects, mites and spiders) at a much lower (100 to 1000 times) sensitivity, and can be used for detection of gross contamination of foods (for example grains or flour) by insects.</p>
<b>Storage</b>	Store at 10-30 °C (50-86 °F)

### Assay Procedure

Solid, liquid and surface samples: According to manual of use INS3019

### Validation Protocol

11 samples were analyzed separately to determine the correlation between AlerTox Sticks Crustacean and a commercially available ELISA against crustacean antigens. The following data was obtained:

	Sample	Brand	AlerTox Sticks Crustacean	ELISA
1	Red grouper fillet	Agama	negative	negative
2	Peeled scallops	Emborg	negative	negative
3	Giant tiger prawn	Polar	positive	positive
4	Seafood Cocktail	Polar	positive	positive
5	Peeled shrimp	Polar	positive	positive
6	Tuna fillet	Agama	negative	negative
7	Assorted seafood	Vici	positive	positive
8	Oyster sauce	Santa Maria	negative	negative
9	Crab pieces	Vici	positive	positive
10	Mashed potatoes with salmon	Semper	negative	negative
11	Prawn Crackers	Zanuy	positive	positive

According to these results, the correlation between both techniques is 100% in the samples tested.